KEK-TH-1969
LAPTH-008/17

QCD-Electroweak first order phase transition in supercooled universe

Satoshi Iso[a,b],* Pasquale D. Serpico[c],† and Kengo Shimada[c‡]
[a] *KEK Theory Center, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK)*
[b] *Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Oho 1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan.*
[c] *LAPTh, Univ. de Savoie & CNRS, 74941 Annecy Cedex, France*

If the electroweak sector of the standard model is described by classically conformal dynamics, the early universe evolution can be substantially altered. It is already known that—contrarily to the standard model case—a 1st-order electroweak phase transition may occur. Here we show that, depending on the model parameters, a dramatically different scenario may happen: A 1st-order, six massless quarks QCD phase transition occurs first, which then triggers the electroweak symmetry breaking. We derive the necessary conditions for this dynamics to occur, using the specific example of the classically conformal B-L model. In particular, relatively light weakly coupled particles are predicted, with implications for collider searches. This scenario is also potentially rich in cosmological consequences, such as renewed possibilities for electroweak baryogenesis, altered dark matter production, and gravitational wave production, as we briefly comment upon.

arXiv:1704.04955v1 [hep-ph] 17 Apr 2017

Introduction.— Despite the recent discovery of the Higgs boson h, we still have little clue on the physics beyond the standard model (SM) at or above the electroweak (EW) scale. In the past decades, model-building has been mostly focusing on supersymmetry sectors at (sub-)TeV scale, motivated by the naturalness of the Higgs mass value. These approaches are however under strain, due to tighter and tighter experimental bounds on the masses of new particles, notably of colored ones, predicted in such models. Hence the renewed motivation to explore alternatives, notably theories including very weakly coupled particles, possibly lighter than SM ones.

An old theoretically appealing idea is that EW symmetry breaking (EWSB) is induced by radiative corrections to the Higgs potential, manifesting conformal symmetry at tree-level if its mass term vanishes [1]. This possibility is nowadays excluded in the SM due to the measured values of its parameters, but it might be viable in classically conformal (CC) extensions of the SM where at least an additional scalar field ϕ is introduced, a requirement anyway needed to account for neutrino masses [2]. In a frequently considered implementation of this scenario [3]-[5], it has been noted that the phase transition (PT) breaking the EW symmetry tends to be strongly 1st-order. Then a significant supercooling below the critical temperature and a relatively long timescale for bubble percolation are implied, and thus a sizable gravitational wave (GW) production and possibly electroweak baryogenesis (EWBG) [6]-[12] are expected. See also [13]- [15] for other realizations with hidden strong dynamics.

Despite their conceptual simplicity, CC models may lead to an even more fascinating possibility: If the supercooling is maintained down to temperatures lower than the the QCD critical temperature $T_c^{\rm QCD}$, chiral symmetry breaking (χSB) occurs spontaneously via quark condensation, $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle \neq 0$. Contrarily to the current phase of the universe, all the quarks were then massless, as initially the scalar fields have no vacuum expectation value (vev). The chiral symmetry is thus broken from $\mathsf{SU(6)_L \times SU(6)_R}$ to $\mathsf{SU(6)}$, and the associated PT is then 1st-order [16]. At the same time, $\langle\bar{q}q\rangle \neq 0$ also breaks the EW symmetry, since $\bar{q}q$ is an $\mathsf{SU(2)_L}$ doublet with a non-vanishing $\mathsf{U(1)_Y}$ charge, a situation that has been recently considered as relevant only in "Gedanken Worlds" [17]. Furthermore, when χSB occurs, the Yukawa couplings y_i with the SM Higgs h generate a linear term $y_i h\langle\bar{q}_i q_i\rangle/\sqrt{2}$, tilting the scalar potential along the h direction. This tilt destabilizes the false vacuum at the origin and the Higgs acquires a vev *at the QCD scale*. A similar possibility within the SM had been already entertained by Witten [18] but is long since excluded. A couple of decades ago, it has been occasionally reconsidered in SM extensions with a dilaton field [19] or in applications to EWBG [20]. The goal of this article is to show that it currently remains a concrete possibility in CC extensions of the SM, with the history of the early universe which is qualitatively different in different regions of the parameter space. In the following, we focus on characterizing the conditions for a QCD-induced EWSB, commenting upon some particle physics and cosmological consequences of such a scenario.

The model.— For definiteness, let us consider the CC B-L extension of the SM [4], where the B-L symmetry is gauged, with gauge coupling g. Besides the SM particles, the model contains a gauge boson Z', a scalar Φ with $\mathsf{U(1)_{B-L}}$ charge 2, and 3 right-handed neutrinos (RHν) canceling the $[\mathsf{U(1)_{B-L}}]^3$ and gravitational anomalies. The CC assumption requires that the scalar potential V, within renormalizable field theories, involving Φ and the Higgs doublet H has no quadratic terms and is given by $V(H,\Phi) = \lambda_h|H|^4 + \lambda_{\rm mix}|H|^2|\Phi|^2 + \lambda_\phi|\Phi|^4$. It is then assumed that the $\mathsf{B-L}$ symmetry is radiatively broken by the Coleman-Weinberg (CW) mechanism [1], which triggers the EWSB. For this, the scalar mixing $\lambda_{\rm mix}$ is required to take a small negative value. In the 1-loop approximation, the CW potential along the potential valley is approximated by $V_{\rm CW}(\phi) = V_0 + B\phi^4[\ln(|\phi|/M) -$

$1/4]/4$ where $\phi = \sqrt{2}|\Phi|$. If the condition $B > 0$ is satisfied, the effective potential has a global minimum at $\phi = M$. Note that the zero temperature one-loop CW potential $V_{\rm CW}$ has no potential barrier between $\phi = 0$ and M. At the global minimum, various particles acquire masses: $m_{Z'} = 2gM$, $m_\phi = \sqrt{B}M$, and $m_{N_i} = Y_i M/\sqrt{2}$ for the RHν's whose Yukawa couplings are Y_i. The constant term $V_0 = BM^4/16$ is chosen so that $V_{\rm CW}(M) = 0$. The coefficient B is the beta function of the coupling λ_ϕ, and given by $B = [3(2g)^4 + \lambda_{\rm mix}^2 - 2\,{\rm Tr}(Y/\sqrt{2})^4]/8\pi^2 > 0$ where Tr is the trace over 3 RHν flavors.

Due to the negative mixing $\lambda_{\rm mix} < 0$, the Higgs field $h = \sqrt{2}|H|$ has a minimum at $v = (|\lambda_{\rm mix}|/2\lambda_h)^{1/2} M$, which is identified with the Higgs vev 246 GeV. If $M \gg v$, the Higgs mass can be approximated as $m_h \approx \sqrt{|\lambda_{\rm mix}|}\, M$. In spite of the large hierarchy $M \gg v$, the scalar ϕ is generically light, $m_\phi \lesssim 10\, m_h$ [21].

Hypercooling in the EW sector.— A CC system has peculiar thermodynamic properties. To see this, let us focus on a model consisting of the fields ϕ and Z' for simplicity. The effects of the RHν's and the Higgs will be taken into account in quantitative estimates and figures later on. The effective potential at temperature T is approximated (see e.g. [22]) in the high temperature expansion as $V(\phi) = (c_2/2)T^2\phi^2 - (c_3/3)T\phi^3 + (B/4)\phi^4 \ln(T/\mu)$ where ϕ-independent terms are dropped. The coefficients are given by $c_2 = g^2$, $c_3 = 6g^3/\pi$, $B = 6g^4/\pi^2$ and $\mu = m_{Z'}\, e^{\gamma_E - 1}/4\pi$. At sufficiently high T, the quadratic term dominates and the only minimum of the potential is at $\phi = 0$: $\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{L}$ symmetry is restored.

When T drops below the critical temperature $T_c \sim m_{Z'}$, defined by the condition $\mathcal{C}(T_c) \equiv 9c_2 B \ln(T_c/\mu)/(2c_3^2) = 1$, the nontrivial minimum of the potential at $\phi_c = 3Tc_2/c_3 \lesssim M$ has a lower energy compared to the false vacuum $\phi = 0$. However, due to the CC assumption, the coefficient of the quadratic term c_2 is always positive and the false vacuum remains the local minimum even at $T \ll T_c$: the potential barrier between 0 and ϕ_c never disappears. Hence, the (de Sitter) universe with the Hubble expansion rate $H_{\rm i} = \sqrt{V_0/3\, m_{\rm pl}^2}$ is supercooled down to a very low temperature $T \ll T_c$.

The universe may be eventually percolated by the true vacuum via bubbles nucleated by quantum tunneling. The percolation temperature T_p can be estimated by using the tunneling rate $\Gamma \simeq T^4 e^{-S_3/T}$. In the present model, the critical bubble's action is given by $S_3/T \approx A(1 - 2\pi\mathcal{C}(T)/9)^{-1}$, where $A = 4.85\, c_2^{3/2}/c_3^2 \propto g^{-3}$ and $\mathcal{C}(T) = (3/4)\ln(T/\mu)$ for $T < \mu$, consistently with results in [23] for a non-negative quartic coupling. Thus for $g \ll 1$ the tunneling rate becomes very small. The fraction of space remaining in the false vacuum at a given temperature $T < T_c$ is given by $p(T) = e^{-I(T)}$, where $I(T)$ is defined by the probability that a single bubble of true vacuum is nucleated



FIG. 1: Contour plot of the percolation temperature T_p (black lines) as a function of g and $m_{Z'}$. The horizontal color bands show the temperature $T_{\rm GH} \equiv H_{\rm i}/2\pi$, at which the false vacuum is destabilized by de-Sitter fluctuations. For reference, we plot the naturalness bound (dashed blue) and the LEP bound (solid red) for the $\mathsf{U}(1)_{\mathsf{B-L}}$ model.

in the past (see [24]). The percolation temperature T_p is then defined by the condition $I(T_p) = 1$. In Fig. 1 we plot T_p as a function of g and $m_{Z'}$. Due to the (weakly T-dependent) behavior $S_3/T \propto g^{-3}$, percolation never occurs for $g \lesssim 0.2$. Eventually, the transition to the true vacuum would occur at the very low temperature $T_{\rm GH} \equiv H_{\rm i}/2\pi$ (horizontal color bands in Fig. 1) when de-Sitter fluctuations destabilize the false vacuum, a condition that we dub *hypercooling*.

QCD-induced EWSB.— If the percolation temperature of the $\mathsf{B} - \mathsf{L}$ sector is lower than the QCD critical temperature $T_c^{\rm QCD}$ and if the de-Sitter fluctuation $\sim T_{\rm GH}$ is negligible compared to the QCD scale, the previous model cannot be trusted anymore to describe the dynamics, since CC condition is actually broken by QCD via dimensional transmutation, i.e. confinement and χSB. At the false vacuum, all the quarks are massless. *QCD with $N_f = 6$ massless quarks (or 5 massless and 1 massive near the false vacuum) has a 1$^{\rm st}$-order PT* [16], with $T_c^{\rm QCD}$ somewhat lower than that in the SM, e.g. 85 MeV in [25]. Contrarily to the previously discussed case, the QCD PT is expected to occur at $T_N^{\rm QCD}$ only mildly below $T_c^{\rm QCD}$, because QCD has a dynamical scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. We can check that hypercooling does not take place, e.g. by using the Polyakov-quark-meson model [26].

When the QCD PT occurs, namely when the chiral condensates form, a linear term $\sum_i y_i \langle \bar{q}_i q_i \rangle h/\sqrt{2}$ is generated in the Higgs potential, and a new local minimum $h = v_{\rm QCD} \sim \mathcal{O}(100)$ MeV emerges. At this minimum, quarks (even the top quark) acquire very light masses $m_{q_i} = y_i v_{\rm QCD}/\sqrt{2} \lesssim \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$. Thus all the $N_f = 6$ quarks are expected to form a chiral condensate $\langle \bar{q}_i q_i \rangle$. The top



FIG. 2: Possible trajectories of the scalar fields (ϕ, h) in the early universe. All start from the origin $(0, 0)$.



FIG. 3: Schematic cosmological histories in the parameter space $(g, m_{Z'})$, assuming $m_N = 0$ and $h_{\rm QCD}/T_N^{\rm QCD} \approx 1$.

Yukawa coupling y_t sets the size of the linear term in the Higgs potential, i.e. the local minimum of the Higgs potential is estimated as $v_{\rm QCD} = (y_t \langle \bar{t}t \rangle / \sqrt{2}\lambda_h)^{1/3}$. Note that the top behaves similarly to the strange quark in the present universe, which has a mass $m_s \sim 100$ MeV comparable with the QCD scale, but whose condensate is of the same order as the up (or down) quark one.

Also, the $\mathsf{SU(2)_L} \times \mathsf{U(1)_Y}$ gauge symmetries are spontaneously broken, and linear combinations of the pions and the ordinary NG components of the Higgs field are eaten by the massive gauge bosons. Thus, *EWSB is triggered by the 1st-order QCD PT.*

Histories of the early universe.— Different histories of the early universe, i.e. different trajectories of the scalar fields are possible as in Fig. 2, depending on different values of the parameters $(g, m_{Z'})$ as in Fig. 3. If the percolation temperature T_p is higher than the QCD scale $\Lambda_{\rm QCD} \sim 100$ MeV, ϕ field tunnels into the true vacuum before the QCD PT (green line in Fig. 2). A strong 1st-order PT takes place, and a sizable production of gravitational waves is expected [8]. From Fig. 1, such possibility is realized for sufficiently strong gauge coupling $g \gtrsim 0.2$ as in the green region of Fig. 3.

If $g \lesssim 0.2$, the QCD-induced EWSB occurs after de Sitter expansion with an e-folding $\sim \ln(T_i/T_N^{\rm QCD})$. $T_i \equiv (30\, V_0/\lambda\pi^2)^{1/4}$ is the temperature when the de Sitter expansion starts, and $\lambda \gtrsim 110$ is number of degrees of



FIG. 4: Two scenarios (I) (II) are shown in parameter space $(m_N, m_{Z'})$. Here, $h_{\rm QCD} \sim T_N^{\rm QCD}$ and $\mathrm{Tr}(m_N^2) = 2(m_N)^2$ are assumed. In the right grey region, the CW condition $B > 0$ is violated. In the left grey region, the origin becomes unstable along ϕ direction due to the Higgs thermal correction with the mixing $\lambda_{\rm mix} < 0$.

freedom in the extended SM. The fate of the ϕ field after the QCD-induced EWSB is determined by the sign of the quadratic term $(c_2 T^2 + \lambda_{\rm mix}/2h^2)\phi^2/2$ at $T = T_N^{\rm QCD}$ and $(\phi, h) = (0, v_{\rm QCD})$. If it is positive, the field ϕ is trapped; scenario (II). Otherwise it rolls down to the true minimum $(\phi, h) = (M, v)$; scenario (I). The trajectories are drawn in Fig. 2. The condition that ϕ is trapped at $v_{\rm QCD}$ translates into $6m_{Z'}^2 + \mathrm{Tr}(m_N^2) > 12 m_h^2\ (v_{\rm QCD}/T_N^{\rm QCD})^2$. In the pink and dark pink region of Fig. 3, the trapping condition is satisfied: then thermal inflation occurs. As temperature drops, the field tunnels and starts rolling around the temperature $T = \sqrt{|\lambda_{\rm mix}|/(2c_2)}v_{\rm QCD}$ at which the coefficient of the quadratic term vanishes. On the other hand, if the trapping condition is violated, ϕ freely rolls down [27]. It is the scenario (I), which is realized in the yellow and dark yellow region in Fig. 3.

On top of it, the fate of the universe is also controlled by the slow roll condition $\eta = m_{\rm pl}^2 V''(\phi)/V_0 < 1$ at $(0, v_{\rm QCD})$. Namely if the condition $8|\lambda_{\rm mix}|\ (m_{\rm pl} v_{\rm QCD})^2 < BM^4$ is satisfied, an inflationary expansion of the universe takes place during rolling. It is represented by the dark regions in Fig. 3, and referred as region (III).

Finally let us discuss the conditions that phenomena (e.g. relics) from the QCD-induced EWSB can be observed in the present universe. If inflationary expansion occurs, they are diluted and likely unobservable. So the necessary conditions are to violate both of the trapping and the slow roll conditions. Furthermore, in order for the CW mechanism to be realized, the condition $B > 0$ (namely $3m_{Z'}^4 + m_h^4 > 2\mathrm{Tr}(m_N^4)$) is necessary. This scenario is realized in the yellow region in Fig. 3 and 4. In the region, besides fascinating cosmological consequences discussed below, the B-L gauge boson, (some) RHν's as well as the B-L scalar are predicted below the



FIG. 5: The GW power spectrum for $\beta/\mathcal{H} = 10, 100, 1000$. We chose $T_{\rm i} = 10$TeV and $\zeta = 0.6, 0.3, 0.15$. The sensitivity of three configurations foreseen for the space mission LISA [38] are also shown.

EW scale which, for sufficiently large couplings, makes it amenable to direct collider probes (e.g. LHC and SHiP) in the foreseeable future, see for instance [28] for a study.

Cosmological consequences.— The scenario (I), in which the QCD PT triggers EWSB and the scalar fields directly roll down to the true minimum, is potentially rich in cosmological consequences. We list a few:

1) The temperature after the PT is limited to $T_{\rm i} \lesssim 17\,$GeV, see Fig. 4. Hence, particles with mass $m \gtrsim \mathcal{O}(10)\,T_{\rm i}$ (such as many dark matter candidates) cannot be thermally produced. The viability of different types of dark matter candidates obtained via alternative production mechanisms should be thus revisited (see e.g. [15]).

2) *Cold* EWBG might take place, which has been argued to be a generic opportunity offered by the supercooling stage ending with the 1$^{\rm st}$-order PT [29]. An interesting possibility is a QCD axion extension [30]. As discussed in the *standard* EWBG context [20] , the EWPT triggered by the χSB "optimizes" the efficiency of the strong CP violation to that purpose. Of course, our scenario is very specific and a modification of the EWSB dynamics has profound implications on several ingredients of the EWBG scenario, like the sphaleron energy and the necessary CP violation.

3) Note that the e-foldings $\ln(T_{\rm i}/T_N^{\rm QCD})$ gained during the late inflationary period is small and clearly unrelated to the one probed via CMB fluctuations. This is a welcome consequence of our model, since small-field inflations with simple symmetry-breaking potential (including the CW one) are otherwise inconsistent with observations. Models like [31], where the CW inflation with a Higgs linear term comes from the quark condensate, should be reanalyzed within the present framework.

4) Another consequence of the 1$^{\rm st}$-order QCD PT is that the formation of primordial black holes (see e.g. [32]) as well as of primordial magnetic fields [33] is eased, with potentially important impact on astrophysics.

5) The most direct cosmological probe of the scenario described above would consist in the detection of the GW background produced via bubble collisions. Following the standard formulae [34, 35], the GW power spectrum is determined by $\beta/\mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hubble parameter at the production of GWs, and $1/\beta$ corresponds to the duration of the PT and the typical size of the bubbles at the collision. The parameter $\beta/\mathcal{H}$ is hard to compute reliably, although it is expected to be larger than $\sim$100 under reasonable assumptions [36]. An additional parameter is $\zeta \equiv T_{\rm rh}/T_{\rm i}$, with $T_{\rm rh}$ the reheating temperature, which quantifies the duration of the reheating period where the scalar oscillates around the true minimum behaving like pressure-less matter. In Fig. 5 we illustrate the approximate GW signal expected under the assumption $T_{\rm i} = 10\,$TeV with varying ζ and $\beta/\mathcal{H}$ [37]. It is worth stressing that, in scenario (I), $\beta/\mathcal{H}$ is essentially independent from g, in contrast to $\beta/\mathcal{H} \propto g^{-2}$ in scenario (II). For comparison, the sensitivities of three configurations foreseen for the space mission LISA [38] are also reported.

Conclusions.— Phenomenologically, we only know that the thermal history of the universe should be conventional below temperatures of a few MeV, sufficient to set up the initial conditions (e.g. populating active neutrino species) for primordial nucleosynthesis. It is usually assumed that the knowledge of the SM allows one to backtrack the evolution of the universe with some confidence up to temperatures of few hundreds GeV. It is also often assumed that the EWPT is a crossover, as predicted by the SM, although theories with an extended EW sector where a 1$^{\rm st}$-order EWPT occurs are not rare. It is however almost universally accepted that the QCD PT is not 1$^{\rm st}$-order, *even in BSM models*, hence with very limited implications for the later universe. Here we offer a counter-example, where an extension of the SM only motivated by EW physics sector changes both the QCD and EW PT dynamics, with the possibility of a very peculiar history of the universe: A 1$^{\rm st}$-order QCD PT (unavoidable, with 6 massless quarks) triggers a 1$^{\rm st}$-order EWPT, eventually followed by a low scale reheating of the universe where hadrons (likely) deconfine again, before a final, "conventional" crossover QCD transition to the current vacuum. To the best of our knowledge, this is the *only viable scenario known where a 1$^{\rm st}$-order QCD PT can be obtained* without large lepton [39] or baryon asymmetry [40]. We have only sketched some important particle physics and cosmological consequences of this scenario. The actual reach of forthcoming collider searches, the extension to more general models than the B-L here used for illustration, as well as quantitative consequences for cosmological crucial problems such as dark matter or baryon asymmetry are all interesting aspects which we plan to return to in the near future.

Acknowledments —We thank Norikazu Yamada and Ryusuke Jinno for useful discussions, and Geraldine Servant for reading the manuscript. The work is supported by the grant from the Toshiko Yuasa Laboratory (FJPPL). S.I. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific research, No 16H06490, No 23540329 and Sokendai.

Note added —After the completion of this article, we became aware of an ongoing, related study [41] motivated in the context of Randall-Sundrum models.

* Electronic address: satoshi.iso@kek.jp
† Electronic address: serpico@lapth.cnrs.fr
‡ Electronic address: shimada@lapth.cnrs.fr

[1] S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys.Rev.D **7**,1888(1973).
[2] K. Meissner and H. Nicolai, Phys.Lett.B **648**,312(2007).
[3] R. Foot, A. Kobakhidze and R. R. Volkas, Phys. Lett. B **655**,156 (2007).
[4] S. Iso, N. Okada and Y. Orikasa, Phys. Lett. B **676**, 81(2009), Phys. Rev. D **80**, 115007 (2009).
[5] M. Holthausen, M. Lindner and M. A. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 055002 (2010).
[6] J. R. Espinosa, T. Konstandin, J. M. No and M. Quiros, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 123528 (2008).
[7] T. Hambye and A. Strumia, Phys.Rev.D **88**, 055022 (2013).
[8] R. Jinno and M. Takimoto, Phys. Rev. D **95**, no. 1, 015020 (2017).
[9] L. Marzola, A. Racioppi and V. Vaskonen, [arXiv:1704.01034[hep-ph]].
[10] A. Farzinnia and J. Ren, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 075012 (2014).
[11] K. Fuyuto and E. Senaha, [arXiv:1504.04291[hep-ph]].
[12] F. Sannino and J. Virkajarvi, Phys.Rev.D **92**, 045015 (2015).
[13] T. Hur and P. Ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 141802 (2011).
[14] M. Holthausen, J. Kubo, K. S. Lim and M. Lindner JHEP **12**, 076 (2013).
[15] T. Konstandin and G. Servant, JCAP **1112**, 009 (2011).
[16] R. Pisarski and F. Wilczek, Phys.Rev.D **29**, 338 (1984).
[17] C. Quigg and R. Shrock, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 096002 (2009).
[18] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **177**, 477 (1981).
[19] W.Buchmuller and D.Wyler, Phys.Lett.B**249**,281(1990).
[20] V. A. Kuzmin, M. E. Shaposhnikov and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 466 (1992).
[21] S. Iso and Y. Orikasa, PTEP **2013**, 023B08 (2013)
[22] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3320 (1974).
[23] M. Dine, R. G. Leigh, P. Y. Huet, A. D. Linde and D. A. Linde, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 550 (1992).
[24] A. Guth and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D**23**, 876 (1981).
[25] J. Braun and H. Gies, JHEP **0606**, 024 (2006).
[26] T. K. Herbst, M. Mitter, J. M. Pawlowski, B. J. Schaefer and R. Stiele, Phys. Lett. B **731**, 248 (2014).
[27] If we generalize the scalar potential, e.g. beyond renormalizable field theories [15], the potential barrier may survive even at zero temperature and accordingly the trapping condition needs modifications. We thank G.Servant for discussions on this point.
[28] B.Batell, M.Pospelov and B.Shuve, JHEP **08**, 052 (2016).
[29] T. Konstandin and G. Servant, JCAP **1107**, 024 (2011).
[30] G. Servant, Phys.Rev.Lett.**113**, 17,171803 (2014).
[31] S. Iso, K. Kohri and K. Shimada, Phys. Rev. D **91** 044006 (2015).
[32] K. Jedamzik, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 5871 (1997).
[33] G. Sigl, A. V. Olinto and K. Jedamzik, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 4582 (1997).
[34] S. J. Huber and T. Konstandin, JCAP **0809**, 022 (2008).
[35] P. Binetruy, A. Bohe, C. Caprini and J.-F. Dufaux, JCAP **1206**, 027 (2012).
[36] C. J. Hogan, Phys. Lett. **133B**, 172 (1983).
[37] If the slow roll parameter η is smaller than $(\beta/H)^2$, the scalar field, starting from the false vacuum, cannot reach at the true minimum before bubbles collide. Thus the vacuum energy cannot be fully released to the GWs, and its amplitude is reduced.
[38] C. Caprini *et al.*, JCAP **1604**, no. 04, 001 (2016).
[39] D. J. Schwarz and M. Stuke, JCAP **0911**, 025 (2009)
[40] T. Boeckel and J. Schaffner-Bielich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 041301 (2010)
[41] G. Servant and B. von Harling, *in preparation.*